

# GRICS Service Improvement Grants

Selection Criteria 2026-2027

<b>Panel Member Name</b>	
<b>Applying Organisation</b>	
<b>Project Title</b>	

1. Endorsed by Chief Executive Officer and Manager ensuring the project is in line with the strategic direction of the health service	
No endorsement / signature <b>0</b>	Endorsement <b>1</b>
<b>Comments</b>	

2. Demonstrates alignment with the Victorian Cancer Plan 2024-2028		
Without clear alignment to policy context <b>1</b>	Clear alignment to policy context <b>2</b>	Clear alignment to action areas of cancer plan specified in SIG Guidelines 2026-2027 <b>3</b>
<b>Comments</b>		

3. Ability to clearly define the issue and its size		
Unclear definition of the issue to be addressed <b>1</b>	Issue described but lack of clarity of the magnitude of the issue and its impacts <b>2</b>	Definition of the issue, its size and impact clearly articulated <b>3</b>

<b>Comments</b>
-----------------

#### 4. Logical methodology for addressing the issue and evaluating outcomes

Unclear how identified gap will be addressed <b>1</b>	Incomplete or questionable plan for addressing the issue and evaluating outcomes <b>2</b>	A logical and defined plan for addressing the issue and evaluating outcomes <b>3</b>
--	--	---

<b>Comments</b>
-----------------

#### 5. Ability to improve the experiences & /or outcomes for those affected by cancer across the Gippsland Region

No evidence of need for improvement <b>1</b>	Some evidence but unclear why the patient experience requires improvement <b>2</b>	Clear evidence of how / why the patient experience requires improvement <b>3</b>
---	---	---

<b>Comments</b>
-----------------

#### 6. Feasibility of delivering the project on time and on budget

No documentation or unrealistic budget / timeframes <b>1</b>	Only budget or time frames clearly articulated <b>2</b>	Realistic budget and timeframes <b>3</b>
---	--	---

<b>Comments</b>
-----------------

#### 7. Project represents value for money

Value of project unstated or unclear	Proposed project outputs do not represent value	Proposed project outputs represent value & align to budget
--------------------------------------	---	--

<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Comments</b>		

<b>8. Consideration to project implementation beyond the end of funding</b>		
No plan articulated for sustainability <b>1</b>	Incomplete or unrealistic sustainability plan <b>2</b>	Clear evidence to support sustainability post project end <b>3</b>
<b>Comments</b>		

<b>9. Effectively uses the consumer voice</b>		
No evidence of consumer perspective <b>1</b>	Individual consumer feedback sought i.e., through patient interview &/or survey <b>2</b>	Patient involvement in a co-design capacity i.e. in focus &/or working groups <b>3</b>
<b>Comments</b>		

<b>Total</b>	<b>/25</b>
--------------	------------